

The hostile heart: anger as a trigger for acute cardiovascular events

Suzanne V. Arnold^{1*}, John A. Spertus¹, and Brahmajee K. Nallamothu²

¹Department of Cardiovascular Research, Saint Luke's Mid America Heart Institute, Kansas City, MO 64111, USA; and ²Division of Cardiovascular Diseases, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, USA

Online publish-ahead-of-print 3 March 2014

This editorial refers to 'Outbursts of anger as a trigger of acute cardiovascular events: a systematic review andmeta-analysis'[†], by E. Mostofsky et al., on page 1404

The link between anger and adverse cardiovascular events is well ingrained in both patients' and clinicians' minds. Mediated through increases in circulating catecholamines, increased myocardial oxygen demand, coronary vasospasm, and increased platelet aggregability, anger can cause transient ischaemia, disruption of vulnerable plaques, and increased thrombotic potential. These changes can then result in myocardial or cerebral ischaemia or malignant arrhythmias. Multiple studies have shown that acute mental stress (in a laboratory setting) can provoke ischaemia of similar or greater severity and extent to exercise in 40-70% of patients with coronary artery disease, ^{2,3} and this ischaemia increases the long-term risk of fatal and non-fatal cardiac events. In addition, T-wave alternans induced by mental stress in a laboratory has been shown to predict future ventricular arrhythmias in patients with implantable defibrillators.

While the long-term link between chronic mental stress, anxiety, depression, and hostility with adverse cardiovascular events has been well established, it has been more difficult to determine the short-term risk of an acute outburst of anger. Ideally, understanding this risk would require large, prospective epidemiological studies that track outbursts of anger and incidence and timing of cardiovascular events. Alternatively, studies have tried to account for this by performing case-crossover designs, which use each patient as his or her own control and track the episodes of anger over a defined period of time and the temporal association of the episodes with the cardiovascular event. In general, these studies have consistently found an increased risk of acute coronary syndrome, stroke, and malignant arrhythmia after an acute anger episode. While many of these studies have been rather small, involving $\sim\!200\!-\!300$ patients, a recent study of nearly 4000 patients with myocardial infarction (MI) demonstrated a 2.4-fold increased risk of MI within 2 h of an outburst of anger, with a stronger effect with increasing anger intensities.⁷

Mostofsky and colleagues now further this work by performing a meta-analysis of case-crossover studies to try to summarize the available evidence regarding the short-term risk of anger and estimate this risk in regard to various adverse cardiovascular events. After an extensive literature review, including meeting abstracts and contacting investigators for unpublished data, the authors identified just nine studies that looked at the effect of anger on four outcomes: MI, ischaemic stroke, ruptured aneurysm, and ventricular arrhythmias. They found consistent and significant associations between anger and increased cardiovascular events within 2 h of the outburst.

There are several limitations to the analyses that the authors acknowledge. The small number of available studies to include in the meta-analysis and their significant heterogeneity speaks to a paucity of overall evidence in this area and substantially complicates the interpretation of their pooled estimates. In addition, given the relatively consistent findings across studies and the difficulty in combining their results using meta-analytic techniques, it is unclear whether combining studies that used such disparate methods provides incrementally more additional information than the individual studies alone. For example, only in the setting of acute myocardial ischaemia were there > 2 studies to examine. As such, this manuscript functions far more effectively as a systematic review, as opposed to providing reliable pooled estimates of risk.

Those limitations aside, as a systematic review, the manuscript highlights important, consistent findings of an increased risk of diverse cardiovascular events after an acute outburst of anger. Given the known physiological effects of acute (and chronic) anger, these results are not surprising. The remaining question in all of these studies, however, is how to prevent these dangerous anger episodes. While the authors suggest that the use of 'beta-blockers to break the link between anger outbursts and cardiovascular events' may be reasonable, a recent analysis from the multinational REACH registry demonstrated no effect of beta-blockers on cardiovascular death, non-fatal MI, or non-fatal stroke among either patients with stable coronary artery disease or those at high-risk for developing MI. Of course, the REACH study was unable to assess the

The opinions expressed in this article are not necessarily those of the Editors of the European Heart Journal or of the European Society of Cardiology.

1360 Editorial

prevalence of anger prior to the observed cardiovascular events, and thus the benefit of targeting beta-blockers to patients at risk for anger outbursts remains untested and uncertain. Other suggested treatments that might also target mental stress, such as selective serotonin release inhibitors, have also had disappointing results in reducing cardiovascular mortality after MI. ^{10,11}

So what might be the next steps to explore in this area? The natural extension of a study such as this is to test an intervention that specifically targets the factor that is associated with the adverse outcome. However, in the setting of psychological distress and its effect on cardiovascular outcomes, this may not be the best strategy. For example, despite overwhelming evidence of depressive symptoms after MI being associated with increased cardiovascular mortality¹² and worse health status, 13 screening for and treating depressive symptoms after an MI has failed to reduce this excess risk. 10,11 One possibility for the divergence between 'risk factor' treatment and improved outcomes could be the great degree of overlap between a multitude of psychological stressors (e.g. anger, anxiety, ¹⁴ depression, 12 or chronic stress 15) that have been shown to be associated with increased adverse cardiovascular events, often independently of each other. In addition, these psychological stressors are often associated with hazardous behaviours, such as smoking, lack of exercise, obesity, and medication non-adherence, which are often not addressed with narrowly targeted treatment strategies.

As such, patients with any of these identified psychological risk factors may benefit from a multimodal psychological evaluation and intervention. Expanding psychosocial interventions to address factors such as anger management, coping skills, chronic stress, anxiety, and other psychosocial impairments in a comprehensive manner may improve cardiovascular outcomes in vulnerable patients. For example, in a study of 237 Swedish women with coronary disease, patients randomized to a group-based psychosocial intervention designed to improve coping skills, reduce stress, and improve social support had significantly reduced mortality as compared with patients who received usual care. ¹⁶ While such interventions are not nearly as simple to implement as a beta-blocker or other medication, the impact on outcomes may be more profound.

Based on the multiple prior studies and the current one from Mostofsky et al., the impact of psychological distress, whether in the form of chronic stress ¹⁵ and anxiety ¹⁶ or acute outbursts of anger, ⁸ on the risk of adverse cardiovascular events is substantial. However, how to move forward in reducing the burden of these risk factors in patients and, hopefully, its impact on cardiovascular health is still in question. Given the lessons we have learned from trying to treat depression after MI, treating anger in isolation is unlikely to be impactful. Instead, a broader and more comprehensive approach to treating acute and chronic mental stress, and its associated psychological stressors, is likely to be needed to heal a hostile heart.

Conflict of interest: none declared.

References

- Mittleman MA, Maclure M, Sherwood JB, Mulry RP, Tofler GH, Jacobs SC, Friedman R, Benson H, Muller JE. Triggering of acute myocardial infarction onset by episodes of anger. Determinants of Myocardial Infarction Onset Study Investigators. *Graulation* 1995:**92**:1720–1725.
- Gullette EC, Blumenthal JA, Babyak M, Jiang W, Waugh RA, Frid DJ, O'Connor CM, Morris JJ, Krantz DS. Effects of mental stress on myocardial ischemia during daily life. IAMA 1997:277:1521–1526.
- Ramachandruni S, Fillingim RB, McGorray SP, Schmalfuss CM, Cooper GR, Schofield RS, Sheps DS. Mental stress provokes ischemia in coronary artery disease subjects without exercise- or adenosine-induced ischemia. J Am Coll Cardiol 2006;47:987–991.
- Sheps DS, McMahon RP, Becker L, Carney RM, Freedland KE, Cohen JD, Sheffield D, Goldberg AD, Ketterer MW, Pepine CJ, Raczynski JM, Light K, Krantz DS, Stone PH, Knatterud GL, Kaufmann PG. Mental stress-induced ischemia and all-cause mortality in patients with coronary artery disease: results from the Psychophysiological Investigations of Myocardial Ischemia study. *Circulation* 2002:105:1780–1784.
- Jiang W, Babyak M, Krantz DS, Waugh RA, Coleman RE, Hanson MM, Frid DJ, McNulty S, Morris JJ, O'Connor CM, Blumenthal JA. Mental stress-induced myocardial ischemia and cardiac events. JAMA 1996;275:1651–1656.
- Lampert R, Shusterman V, Burg M, McPherson C, Batsford W, Goldberg A, Soufer R. Anger-induced T-wave alternans predicts future ventricular arrhythmias in patients with implantable cardioverter-defibrillators. J Am Coll Cardiol 2009;53: 774–778
- 7. Mostofsky E, Maclure M, Tofler GH, Muller JE, Mittleman MA. Relation of outbursts of anger and risk of acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol* 2013;**112**:343–348.
- Mostofsky E, Penner EA, Mittleman MA. Outbursts of anger as a trigger of acute cardiovascular events: a systematic review andmeta-analysis. Eur Heart J 2014;35: 1404–1410.
- Bangalore S, Steg G, Deedwania P, Crowley K, Eagle KA, Goto S, Ohman EM, Cannon CP, Smith SC, Zeymer U, Hoffman EB, Messerli FH, Bhatt DL. beta-Blocker use and clinical outcomes in stable outpatients with and without coronary artery disease. JAMA 2012;308:1340–1349.
- Zuidersma M, Conradi HJ, van Melle JP, Ormel J, de Jonge P. Depression treatment after myocardial infarction and long-term risk of subsequent cardiovascular events and mortality: a randomized controlled trial. J Psychosom Res 2013;74:25–30.
- van Melle JP, de Jonge P, Honig A, Schene AH, Kuyper AM, Crijns HJ, Schins A, Tulner D, van den Berg MP, Ormel J. Effects of antidepressant treatment following myocardial infarction. Br J Psychiatry 2007; 190:460–466.
- van Melle JP, de Jonge P, Spijkerman TA, Tijssen JG, Ormel J, van Veldhuisen DJ, van den Brink RH, van den Berg MP. Prognostic association of depression following myocardial infarction with mortality and cardiovascular events: a meta-analysis. Psychosom Med 2004;66:814–822.
- Ruo B, Rumsfeld JS, Hlatky MA, Liu H, Browner WS, Whooley MA. Depressive symptoms and health-related quality of life: the Heart and Soul Study. JAMA 2003; 290:215–221.
- Roest AM, Martens EJ, Denollet J, de Jonge P. Prognostic association of anxiety post myocardial infarction with mortality and new cardiac events: a meta-analysis. Psychosom Med 2010;72:563–569.
- Arnold SV, Smolderen KG, Buchanan DM, Li Y, Spertus JA. Perceived stress in myocardial infarction: long-term mortality and health status outcomes. J Am Coll Cardiol 2012;60:1756–1763.
- Orth-Gomer K, Schneiderman N, Wang HX, Walldin C, Blom M, Jernberg T. Stress reduction prolongs life in women with coronary disease: the Stockholm Women's Intervention Trial for Coronary Heart Disease (SWITCHD). Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes 2009:2:25–32.